

Tuesday.

Van Agh.

Just finish before lunch. (Hoover)

D.D.

(on Page 1 para 1-3)

don't think document reflects views expressed. Para 2 doesn't reflect unemployment debate & in Para 3 too complacent & tight policies make things worse.

Mitrand

think text is still being discussed outside what is the point of discussing it here?

Van Agh

No. only Political Corp being discussed.

D.D.

introduces amendments

delete vigorous Para 2 (why?)

Para 3 unemployment highest priority. before inflation deeper point of recession not past.

must be a shift in emphasis to unemployment.

P. 7.

redrafting every para will lead to acute difficulty can't satisfy everyone. must interpret each according to own lights. unhappy with D.D.

Sp. Mini.

(2)

Agrees with P.M. J.D. talking balls.
was going to move amendment. but would
then does & then withdraws.

Out & In.

Can't draft. we shall be lost

then proceeds to draft.

I really can't be expected to record this.

It will come to nothing. if it does

I may record it - if I have been listening

Titterand

whose exercise is pointless

Officials should have passed it.

How can he be expected at last moment to
devise amendments which would not be accepted.

He is not used to this sort
of thing & is not pleased

Let the text be looked over by
to people who are not H. of G.

But too late. However common
denominator. Clear text. but only purpose
to be void. difficulties not surmounted but
evaded.

Since he can't change it, goes along
with it. But his ideas should be included
but if they were anyone else should be.

Japanese section weaker than ever.
& nothing about special body to discuss
Mandate.

Schmidt

Agrees. with Nettland.

President has always drafted for himself

Page 6 does not reflect agreement last night
They agreed.

(1) No substantive discussion

(Frank etc cant)

(2) As from beginning of Sept (it's seems to have been agreed)
10 countries would go through

report (some from reps) rank of Ambo

from September France not ready
before

No debate. yesterday. Just monologues.
differences would emerge

(i) all agreed about high interest rates.

(2) need for competitiveness

dilemma

Fr & Br. good balance of payments.

Germany not

[Tuesday - discussion of
Tendency conclusions]

Mitterrand

Whole exercise is pointless.

Officials should have passed it.

How can he be expected at last moment to devise amendments which would not be accepted.

He is not used to this sort of thing and is not pleased.

Let the text be looked over by ten people who are not Heads of Government.

But too late. Lowest common denominator. Clear text. But only purpose to fill void. Difficulties not surmounted but evaded.

Since he can't change it, goes along with it. But his ideas should be included but if they were everyone else's should be.

Japanese section weaker than ever. And nothing about special body to discuss mandate.

Schmidt

Agrees, with Mitterrand.

President has always drafted for himself.

Page 6 does not reflect agreement last night. They agreed.

(1) No substantive discussion

(French can't)

(2) As from beginning of Sept (this seems to have been agreed) 10 countries would go through report (some perm reps) rank of Ambassadors from September. France not ready before.

No debate yesterday. Just monologues. Differences would emerge.

(1) All agreed about high interest rate

(2) need for competitiveness

Differences

France and Belgium good balance of payments

Germany not

Schmidt.

~~the~~ countries should not say
what should be done to others in
particular circumstances.

Van Agh

papa before us not communique
not declaration

nobody bound by it.

method has been customary one

please accept general line.

stunned silence.

is Jap but in right spirit. ◊

Attard

doesn't think it serious

Sticking to idea of overall settlement
doesn't believe in it.

believes in Camp David & step by step.
Arabs divided so unreal.

Simply verbiage

Eyskens

agrees. suggest loan but

comprehensive.